

Assessing Risk

While the risks at large petrochemical facilities is clearly higher than at small facilities, the assessment of the risk at the large facilities is conceptually easier. Guides have been developed and published (see footnotes 1 - 4) and large facilities have professionals who can devote time and resources to security and security risk management. Smaller facilities and academic settings have a more difficult task. Table 1 and this section provide a guide for these smaller companies for assessing their security risk. The security risk assessment tool (RAT) is a modification of the Laboratory RAT, the Spill RAT and the general operations RAT developed by this author. It has been found to be a helpful guide to the difficult task of risk assessment.

The person or group performing the security risk assessment should be quite familiar with the facility. The Risk Assessment Tool shown in Table 1 is a guide, it should be interpreted broadly and used in conjunction with other information about the facility. The following is a description of the line items in the Risk Assessment Tool.

Setting: Terrorists favor targets that impact large populations. Thus, a facility in the middle of a rural location is much less “attractive” as a target than a facility adjacent to several freeways, or a government building in a high population density area.

Nearby Locations:

As stated above, proximity to centers of high population density increase the attractiveness of a facility as a target. A facility located next to a major event stadium or a major petrochemical tank farm is inherently at higher risk than any other facility.

Ownership: Terrorists attempt to create fear by targeting large, well-known entities, such as major corporations. A “Momma and Poppa” firm is less likely to be a target than is a facility identified as being part of a Fortune 100 business.

Existing Security Systems:

The presence of existing security controls lowers the attractiveness of a facility as a target. A facility that has very tight perimeter control, has each perimeter access point secured and controlled, has each building access point secured and controlled, and has supplemental monitors in the form of sensors, patrols and/or video surveillance is a much harder target than is an open-campus atmosphere in which each building is readily accessible during most of the day.

Target Identification: A number of possible targets have been identified. The

presence of these targets at your facility raises its risk. As the amount of a specific target increases, the risk increases correspondingly.

Chemicals of Concern

The various major chemicals of concern, including warfare agents (and their associated CW-Identifier) include:

Mustard Gas, H or HD	Tabun, GA
Phosgene Oxime, CX	Sarin, GB
Lewisite, L	Soman, GD
Phosgene, CG	VX
Chlorine	Hydrogen Cyanide
Ammonia	Cyanogen Chloride

Biological Agents

The major biological agents include anthrax and smallpox. Other organisms are of concern and these include:

Viruses

Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus	Tick-borne encephalitis complex viruses
Eastern Equine Encephalitis virus	Variola major virus (Smallpox virus)
Ebola viruses	Venezuelan Equine Encephalitis virus
Equine Morbillivirus	Viruses causing hantavirus pulmonary syndrome
Lassa fever virus	Yellow fever virus
Marburg virus	
Rift Valley fever virus	
South American Haemorrhagic fever viruses (Junin, Machupo, Sabia, Flexal, Guanarito)	

Bacteria

Bacillus anthracis	Burkholderia (Pseudomonas) pseudomallei
Brucella abortus, B. melitensis, B. suis	Clostridium botulinum
Burkholderia (Pseudomonas) mallei	Francisella tularensis
	Yersinia pestis

Rickettsiae

Coxiella burnetii
Rickettsia prowazekii

Rickettsia rickettsii

Fungi

Coccidioides immitis

Toxins

Abrin

Aflatoxins

Botulinum toxins

Clostridium perfringens epsilon toxin

Conotoxins

Diacetoxyscirpenol

Ricin

Saxitoxin

Shigatoxin

Staphylococcal enterotoxins

Tetrodotoxin

T-2 toxin

Radiological Agents: The higher the specific activity, the longer the half-life, and the chemical form all contribute to the increased target potential of radiological materials. Even uranium compounds may be attractive for use in a “dirty bomb”. While the risk of significant radiological injury from a dirty bomb is much less than the risk of injury from the explosion, the psychological impact of such an event on the affected population will be substantial. Safety professionals should educate their employees as to the real significance of such an incident.

Personnel Issues

Training: Employees must be part of the security risk-management program. This requires training. Increased training will reduce the potential for a facility to be a target.

Employee Turnover:

Long term employees are much less likely to carry out a terrorist activity than are new employees. Thus, new employees require much higher scrutiny than do existing, long term employees to achieve the same level of security.

Worst Case Outcome Impact on Facility and Surrounding Area

These two entries are the result of your development of scenarios of the consequences of a terrorist incident at your facility. Examine the worst case scenario and estimate the severity of the outcome on your facility and on the surrounding locations. Score the result appropriately.

A given facility may have other factors of concern. These should be added onto Table 1. The scoring on Table 1 is open-ended. The higher the score, the greater the risk for a terrorist incident.

